



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/728,857	12/08/2003	Andrew John Cardno	83336.1710	1227

66880 7590 04/29/2009  
STEPTOE & JOHNSON, LLP  
2121 AVENUE OF THE STARS  
SUITE 2800  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90067

EXAMINER
----------

NUNEZ, JORDANY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2175

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

04/29/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

kstowe@steptoe.com  
emiyake@steptoe.com  
jpcody@ballytech.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/728,857	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARDNO, ANDREW JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jordany Núñez	<b>Art Unit</b> 2175	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jordany Núñez. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Douglas Peterson. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-14.

Identification of prior art discussed: US6343275 (Wong).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached.    g) ☒ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner explained to Applicant how the reference cited reads over the claimed subject matter. Applicant replied that new limitations will be submitted to overcome the cited art. Examiner explained that the new limitations will require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jordany Núñez/ Examiner, Art Unit 2175	
--	--